Appln No. 10/552,325 Amdt date December 13, 2007 Reply to Office action of September 14, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-23 are pending in the application. Applicants have canceled claim 2. Therefore, claims 1 and 3-23 are pending in the application.

The title of the invention has been objected to for not being descriptive. Applicants have amended the title to "Bidirectional Drive." The abstract has been objected to for including the word "said" and for including the word "bilateral." Applicants have amended the abstract to remove "said" and to change "bilateral" throughout the abstract with "bidirectional." Applicants have also submitted herewith a substitute specification by which the disclosure has been amended throughout to replace "bilateral" with "bidirectional." Applicants request that the objection to the specification be withdrawn.

Claims 1-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants have canceled claim 2 and have amended claims 1 and 3-23 in order to replace the word "bilateral" with "bidirectional." Applicants believe that these amendments overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The office action indicates that claims 2-14, 16-20, 22 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 2 and have canceled claim 2. Furthermore, Applicants have rewritten claims 8 and 20 in independent form so as to include the limitations of base claim 1. Therefore, because claims 2, 8 and 20 have been indicated to be allowable, Applicants believe that claims 1 and 3-23 are now in condition for allowance.

Appln No. 10/552,325 Amdt date December 13, 2007 Reply to Office action of September 14, 2007

In view of the forgoing, Applicants believe this application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By

Reg. No. 52,035

626/795-9900

SM/mr

MIR IRV1110880.1-*-12/13/07 2:59 PM